

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,055	09/12/2003	Joseph W. Boggs II	9469.18443	8327
26308	7590 04/22/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	OMHOLZ & MANION	SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY		
POST OFFICE BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3762	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/662,055	BOGGS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
. *	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7,11,12 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	latent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,055

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-7, 11, 12 and 15, drawn to a system for controlling a physiological function, classified in class 607, subclass 002.
 - II. Claims 8-10, 13 and 14, drawn to a method for controlling a physiological function, classified in class 607, subclass 002.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process such as deep brain stimulation or dental analgesia.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Daniel Ryan on February 11, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 8-10, 13 and 14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-7, 11, 12 and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,055 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The reference on page 1 to the 10/113,828 application as being a provisional application is incorrect (provisional applications are in the form 60/xxx,xxx).

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claim 13 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/113,828. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because present claim 13 is, for the most part, broader in scope than the claims of the '828 application. Practice of the current method would necessarily infringe on the claims of the '828 application because by placing an array of electrodes on, in, or near the pudendal nerve trunk, one is by default placing at least one electrode near afferent nerve fibers in the deep perineal nerve. Furthermore, application of selective stimulus to the pudendal nerve trunk for controlling the

Application/Control Number: 10/662,055

Art Unit: 3762

physiological functions claimed in the '828 application, would necessarily result in selective stimulation of afferent nerve fibers in the deep perineal nerve. Once the applicant has received a patent for a species or a more specific embodiment, he is not entitled to a patent for the generic or broader invention (see *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993)).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lu et al. (Pat. No. 4,585,005).

As shown in Fig. 1, electrode 11 is placed on, in, or near afferent nerve fibers in the deep perineal nerve. An electrical signal is applied to the electrode via transmitter 14.

11. Claims 8-10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brindley (Pat. No. 3,870,051).

Regarding claim 8, Brindley discloses a method of controlling a physiological function comprising the steps of placing at least one electrode (23A and/or 23B) on, in, or near a targeted component of the pudendal nerve, and/or its branch(es), and/or its spinal root(s), applying an electrical signal to the electrode (see external transmitter 11), and selecting between a first frequency or range of frequencies to achieve a first desired physiological response and a second frequency or range of frequencies to achieve a second desired physiologic response different than the first physiologic response (note col. 2, lines 14-42).

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claim 9, the examiner considers the method of Brindley to disclose placement of the electrodes at least near a urethral afferent of the pudendal nerve and/or afferent nerve fibers in the deep perineal nerve.

The limitations of claim 10 are clearly shown.

Regarding claims 13 and 14, note the rejection of claim 8 above.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kennedy Schaetzle whose telephone number is 571 272-4954. The examiner can normally be reached M-W and F from 9:30 -6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached M-F at 571 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KJS

February 14, 2005